

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,488		11/27/2004	Maximo Gavira Montes		6817
48787	7590	11/29/2005		EXAM	INER
GAVIRA N	MONTE	S, MAXIMO	WALCZAK, DAVID J		
P.O. BOX 3 POLIGONO		STRIAL CAMPAI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LA LINEA,			3751		
SPAIN				DATE MAIL ED: 11/20/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/517,488	GAVIRA MONTES, MAXIMO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David J. Walczak	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	7 November 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	frawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4, 5</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		by the Everniner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p						
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Abstract

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because phrases that can be implied, such as "The invention relates" and legal phraseology, such as "means" and "said" should not be present therein. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Drawings

It is here noted that no drawings were filed with this application. For the purposes of examination, the drawings present in WO 03/103468/PCT/ES03/00260 (submitted 11/27/04), which coincide with the specification, were used. A new set of drawings, however, should be submitted.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The terms/limitations "doser devie", cap 4 being threadedly attached (claim 1) and "external" and "internal" parts of portion 3 (claim 2) do not have antecedent basis in the specification. The Applicant should review all of the claims to ensure that all of the terminology therein has proper antecedent basis in the specification.

Art Unit: 3751

Claim Objections

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer back to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, claims 4 and 5 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In regard to claim 1, an antecedent basis for "the internal container space" and "the arm" has not been defined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Rourke in view of Severin et al. (hereinafter Severin). In regard to claims 1 and 2, Figure 10 of O'Rourke discloses a brush comprised of an elastic body 11 having an outlet opening at an end thereof with internal threads 115 and a membrane 112 on the internal portion of the opening and a rigid, elongated, "stepped" arm 12, 52 with an outside thread for mating with internal thread 115, a distal head portion 14 having a

greater diameter than the narrow portion of the neck and having a plurality of bristles groups 16 thereon and a axial opening 18, 60 extending the length thereof wherein the arm perforates the membrane 112 when the arm is threaded to the body. Although the O'Rourk reference does not disclose the use of a threaded cap for covering the outlet opening before the body is attached to the arm, attention is directed to the Severin reference, which discloses another applicator wherein the body 14 has an outlet opening 20 with a frangible seal 22 which is threadedly attached to an arm 26 (see (Figure 1). Prior to assembly, however, a theaded cap is used to close the outlet opening to thereby protect the seal (see column 18, lines 61-64). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ such a cap over the outlet opening in the O'Rourk device in order to enable the seal to be protected prior to assembly. Although this embodiment of the O'Rourke reference does not include a fill opening closed by a threaded cap at the end of the body, attention is directed to the embodiment shown in Figure 1 of O'Rourk, which discloses such a fill opening 64 closed by a threaded cap 66 in order to enable the body to be refilled. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ such a fill opening and cap onto the embodiment shown in Figure 10 in order to enable the device to be refilled. It is lastly noted that the statements of intended use, i.e., for use in the cleaning of a toilet with disinfecting products, do not lend any patentable structure to the claims. Further, the O'Rourke device is capable of being used as claimed. In regard to claim 3, the axial

opening varies in diameter to form a bottle neck 60 which would inherently provide a siphon effect and prevent dripping.

Conclusion

Page 5

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Dillingham et al. and Wirt references are cited for disclosing other dispensing devices having a body attached to an elongated arm.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 571-272-4895. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30- 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 571-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

DJW 11/25/05